			·
Case 1:09-cy-08532-CM	Document 3	Filed 07/28/2009	Page 3 of 5
United States district cou Southern district of New			
Harlem 100-124 Amodistes, LLC,	x		
Plotatiff(s),		09 Civ. 6532 (0	CM) (AJP)
-againm-		,	<u> </u>
•		USDS SI	ONY
Greenwich Insurance Company,		DOCUM	ENT
Defend	lantt(s).	ELECTR	ONICALLY FILED
		: DC #:_	·
	x	DATER	LED: 9309

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

(for all cases except patent, IDBA and BRISA benefits cases, and cases subject to the Private Scounties Litigation Rathern Act)

1.	This care		pof to	be tri	ad to	a jury,
----	-----------	--	--------	--------	-------	---------

2. Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) shall	l be exchanged by	
3. No additional parties may be joined after	10/15/09	
4. No pleasing may be strended after	10/15/09	

5. If your case is brought parament to 42 U.S.C. § 1983; In beeping with the United States Supreme Court's observation that the issue of qualified immunity should be decided inflore discovery is conducted, counsel representing say defendant who intends to claim qualified immunity must comply with the special procedure set forth in Judgo McMahon's individual rules, which can be found at wave.med.coor.

Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity palor to trial. Please identify

Case 1:09-cv-06532-CM Document 9 Filed 07/28/2009 Page 4 of 5

any party who is maving to dismiss on qualified immunity grounds.

6. Ali discovery, including exp	our discovery, must be completed on or before
2/28/10	. (Por personal injury, civil rights, employment
discrimination or medical malpractice	cases only); Phintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and
shall be completed by	PLRASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery,
including expert discovery" means the	t the parties most select and disclose their experts'
identities and opinions, as required by	Fed. R. Chv. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the explication of
the dissovery period. Expert disclosure	es conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the
following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert rep	orf(s) by 11/15/09; Defandant(s)
expert report(s) by 12/15/09	•

- 7. Judge McMalton's Rules governing electronic discovery apply entometically to this one. The parties must comply with those rules union they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nogluescents.gov.
- 8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate Andrew J. Pack for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes, go directly to your essigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready data, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in mon-yes so cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines in mon-yes so cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so council are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. A joint pre-trial order in the firm prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in these meticus), shall be submitted on or before \$\frac{3/15/10}{15/10}\$. Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In these motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the tital pre-trial conference; responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cause may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pro-trial order is due. The filting of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.
- The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned.
 Magistrate Judge presument to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

Case 1:09-cv-06532-CM Document 3 Filed 07/28/2009 Page 5 of 6

12. This acheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foresecuble at the time this order is entered. Coursel should not canone that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Upon consent of the parties:

[signstones of all counsel]
WESCHLER & COHEN, LLP 17 State Street, 15th Floor New York 197 10004 (212) 977790

Cohen Attorneys for Plaintiff

Harlem 100-124 Associates, LLC

GENNET, KALLMANN, ANTIN & ROBINSON, P.C.

45 Broadway Atrium, Litman Suite

New York NY 10006 (212)

Michael S. Leavy Attorneys for Defendant Greenwich Insurance Company

O ORDERED:

Hoa. College McMahon United States District Judge